## Interview Summary

	SUCHIRA PANDE	1637	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>SUCHIRA PANDE</u> .	(3) Jeff Bousquet.		
(2) <u>Teresa Strzelecka</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>02 December 2008</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>8</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>yes</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	) was not reached. h) № N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The primers claimed have 100% matches to known factor V sequence. The pending rejection is 103 obviousness type rejection. Various scenarios's were discussed that may be able to overcome the obviousness rejection based on unexpected results.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILEA STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u>			
/Suchira Pande/ Examiner. At Unit 1637			